# 292. Synthesis and Absolute Configuration of New Trichloro Steroids with Cyclopropane-Containing Side Chains

by Robert W. Lang and Carl Djerassi

Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

and Phyllis D. Strong, Dale C. Swenson and William L. Duax

Molecular Biophysics Department, Medical Foundation of Buffalo, Inc., Buffalo, New York 14203

### (1.IX.81)

# Summary

The determination of the absolute configuration of the trichloro steroids 2a and 2c (cf. Scheme 1) by means of two X-ray crystal structure analyses is reported and applies also to the determination of the absolute configurations of several derived steroidal cyclopropanes [1]. The preparation of the chlorinated derivatives 2a-c is described and the spectroscopic properties of 1 and 2 are summarized.

In connection with our synthesis of sterols with cyclopropane-containing side chains, we were especially interested in the configuration at the three-membered ring [1]. This is due to the fact that we required several stereoisomers and, therefore, used the non-stereospecific carbene-addition route to generate the cyclopropane moiety starting from the corresponding, isomerically pure substituted olefins. In spite of finding reasonable differences in physical and spectroscopic properties of diastereoisomeric steroidal cyclopropanes [1], for all practical purposes the determination of the absolute configuration can be accomplished only by X-ray analysis.

In searching for suitable derivatives for X-ray analysis, we assumed that the primary dichlorocarbene adducts 1a-d [1] would serve as practical intermediates. It is well known [2] that the steroidal i-methyl-ether moiety can easily be transformed to the corresponding  $3\beta$ -chloro  $\Delta^5$ -system by treatment with HCl in acetic acid. Therefore we examined this possibility to introduce a third halogen atom into the steroi compounds (*Scheme*). The use of highly diluted HCl in dioxane/water 4:1 promised to avoid the occurrence of any side-reactions which could affect the dichlorocyclopropane ring. Indeed, after heating such a solution of the halogenated steroidal i-methyl-ether for 45 min under reflux, a (3:1)-mixture of the  $3\beta$ -chloro and the  $3\beta$ -hydroxy steroid – easily separable by column chromatography and/or by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography – could be isolated in almost quantitative overall yield.

In order to show the most striking differences of their spectroscopic properties, we have summarized the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.-methyl-group signals as well as the main mass



spectral fragments of 1 and 2 – having the three-membered ring in either the 23,24- or the 24,28-position – in *Table 1* and 2, respectively.

It is obvious from *Table 1*, that the differences in diastereoisomerically substituted steroidal cyclopropanes can be observed in their NMR. spectra (*cf.* 1a *vs.* 1b and 1c *vs.* 1d), but not in their mass spectra (*Table 2*). Nevertheless, steroids having the three-membered ring in different positions of the side chain (*cf.* 1a *vs.* 1c) may easily be differentiated from each other by both NMR. and mass spectra. Although no striking differences are measurable between the i-methyl-ethers 1 and the  $3\beta$ -chloro steroids 2 in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. chemical shifts of the side-chain methyl groups, only the mass spectra of the trichloro steroids 2a and 2b show the expected loss of C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>8</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (concomitant fission of C (23), C (24)- and C (23), C (28)-bonds with a reasonable intensity at *m/z* 346, whereas the corresponding peak at *m/z* 342 in 1a and 1b is missing. However for the 24, 28-dichloromethylene analogs (the i-methyl-ethers 1c and 1d, as well as the steroid 2c), the appropriate fragmentation peak, which occurs from loss of C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and leads to *m/z* 398 and 402, respectively, may be observed in both cases with similar intensity (*Table 2*).

Compound	C(18)	C(19)	C(21)	C(26)/C(27)	C(29)
1a (23R, 24S)	0.762	1.027	0.945	1.106/1.056	
			(6.63)	(6.43/6.56)	
2a(23R,24S)	0.723	1.035	0.942	1.105/1.060	
			(6.62)	(6.42/6.52)	
<b>1b</b> $(23S, 24R)$	0.740	1.026	0.979	1.105/1.073	
			(6.55)	(6.43/6.55)	
<b>2b</b> $(23S, 24R)$	0.701	1.031	0.978	1,105/1.078	
			(6.56)	(6.44/6.59)	
1c $(24R, 28R)$	0.710	1.019	0.913	1.134/1.028	1.154
			(6.47)	(6.88/6.49)	(s!)
<b>2c</b> $(24R, 28R)$	0.670	1.025	0.916	1.131/1.025	1.153
			(6.36)	(6.98/6.93)	(s!)
1d $(24S, 28S)$	0.709	1.020	0.924	1.127/1.033	1.168
			(5.92)	(6.95/6.95)	(s!)
<sup>a</sup> ) Given as $\delta$ value.	s; (J) values are in	Hz. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR. v	alues of the i-me	thyl-ethers <b>1a-d</b> are tak	en from [1].

Table 1. Methyl group region <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. (360 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) chemical shifts<sup>a</sup>)

1a (23 <i>R</i> ,24 <i>S</i> ), 1b (23 <i>S</i> ,24 <i>R</i> )	<b>2a</b> $(23R, 24S)$ , <b>2b</b> $(23S, 24R)$	1c (24 <i>R</i> ,28 <i>R</i> ), 1d (24 <i>S</i> ,28 <i>S</i> )	<b>2c</b> (24 <i>R</i> ,28 <i>R</i> )
480 (59, M <sup>+</sup> )	484 (22, <i>M</i> <sup>+</sup> )	$508(5, M^+)$	$512(3, M^+)$
$465 (55, M - CH_3)$	$469(14, M - CH_3)$	$493(15, M-CH_3)$	476 (4, $M - HCl$ )
448 (92, $M - CH_3OH$ )	448 (23, $M - HC1$ )	$476(10, M - CH_3OH)$	402 (46, $M - C_3H_4Cl_2!$ )
425 (100, M - A-ring)	$433(8, M - CH_3 - HCl)$	453 (32, M - A-ring)	$387(19, 402 - CH_3)$
327 (22)	$346(39, M - C_5H_8Cl_2!)$	$398(40, M - C_3H_4C_{12}!)$	332 (7)
285 (14)	331 (11)	383 (62, 398 – CH <sub>3</sub> )	318 (47)
255 (64)	319 (41)	351 (22)	289 (100)
253 (80)	317 (34)	343 (53, 398 - A-ring)	
229 (35)	303 (18)	314 (26)	
213 (72)	291 (62)	285 (99)	
	289 (100)	253 (100)	
a) Low resolution da from [1].	ta obtained at 70 eV. Mass	spectral data of the i-met	hyl-ethers <b>1a-d</b> are taken

Table 2. Main mass spectral fragments of 1 and 2<sup>a</sup>) [m/z-values (average intensity, fragments)]

The assignments of the absolute configurations at the cyclopropane rings of all compounds described above and of most in [1] were based on the two following X-ray crystal structure analyses of the trichloro steroids **2a** and **2c**, which were recrystal-lized from ethyl acetate/ethanol to yield long and homogeneous needles.

The absolute configurations of the two structures are illustrated in the *Figure*. The cholesterol side chain conformations are defined by the torsion angles of *Table 3*. The methylene substituent introduces a *cis*-conformation of  $\omega_3$ . C(22)-C(23)-C(24)-C(25) in derivative **2a**. Such a conformation is not observed in the side chains of 96 cholestanes whose X-ray crystal structures have recently been surveyed [3]. The side chain conformation observed in derivative **2c** is also



Figure. The observed absolute configurations and conformations of (a) (23R, 24S)-3β-chloro-23, 24dichloromethylenecholest-5-ene (2a) and (b) (24R, 28R)-3β-chloro-24, 28-dichloromethylene-24-ethylcholest-5-ene (2c)

,		2a	2c
$\omega_1$	C(13)-C(17)-C(20)-C(22)	- 177.6°	178.9°
ω	C(17) - C(20) - C(22) - C(23)	- 173.3	- 167.9
ω	C(20)-C(22)-C(23)-C(24)	157.0	151.5
$\omega_{4}$	C(22) - C(23) - C(24) - C(25)	- 1.3	70.2
ωs	C(23)-C(24)-C(25)-C(26)	- 156.3	-61.0
$\omega_6$	C(23) - C(24) - C(25) - C(27)	81.5	63.2

Table 3. Torsion angles defining the side chain conformation

unusual in that the C(26)- and C(27)-methyl groups are both *gauche* with respect to C(23). Such a conformation is observed only once in the 96 cholestanes studied crystallographically. Corresponding bond lengths and angles in the two structures are within three standard deviations of each other and appear unexceptional when compared with previous X-ray crystal structure determinations.

Financial support by the National Institutes of Health (Grants No. GM-06840 and AM-04257 to C.D. and AM-26546 to W.L.D.) is gratefully acknowledged. In addition we are grateful for the use of the NMR./MS. center at the Stanford 360 MHz facility (NSF Grant No. GP-23633 and NIH Grant No. RR-0711). We thank Dr. Lois J. Durham for the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. spectra, Ms. A. Wegmann for mass spectral determinations and Miss F. Elaine DeJarnette for technical assistance. R.W.L. thanks the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung for a postdoctoral fellowship.

#### **Experimental Part**

General remarks. Conditions and equipment used for the physical measurements in the synthetic section were those described in [1].

The crystal data for the trichloro steroids 2a and 2c are presented in *Table 4*. In each case the cell constants were determined by least-squares analysis of the  $\theta$  values for 25 reflections centered on a diffractometer. For compound 2a, integrated intensities for 2376 independent reflexions having  $\theta < 60^\circ$  were measured on an *Enraf-Nonius* CAD-4 diffractometer using CuKa radiation. The structure

	2a	2c
Molecular formula	C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>43</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>30</sub> H <sub>47</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub>
Molecular weight	486.21	514.12
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P 2_1 2_1 2_1$	$P2_1$
Ż Ż	4	2
Cell dimensions (Å)		
a	12.580 (3)	18.150 (4)
b	35.347 (9)	21.903 (4)
С	6.203 (1)	12.502 (3)
β	-	105.82 (2)
Vol. [Å <sup>3</sup> ]	2758.2	1466.4
Density [g/cm <sup>3</sup> ]	1.17	1.16
Crystal size [mm]	$0.04 \times 0.28 \times 1.3$	$0.20 \times 0.28 \times 0.60$
λ [Å]	1.54184 (CuKa)	0.7107 (MoKa)

Table 4. Crystal data of compounds 2a and 2c

was solved by direct methods [4] and refined by full matrix least-squares. H-Atoms were introduced at geometrically expected positions and not refined. The crystal size and shape produced data suitable for unambigous determination of the configuration at C(23) and C(24). However, the rapid fall-off in intensities from the best crystals that could be obtained precluded H-atom location and the degree of geometric accuracy routinely achieved in X-ray crystal structure determinations. The final conventional R indices were 13.5% for all data and 9.2% for the 1689 reflections having intensities greater than twice their standard deviation.

For compound 2c integrated intensities for 4622 independent reflections having  $\theta < 30^{\circ}$  were measured on a *Nicolet-Syntex* P3 diffractometer using MoKa radiation. The intensities were reduced to structure factor amplitudes, and phase angles sufficient for location of the non-H-atoms were derived using the direct-methods program MULTAN [4]. H-Atoms were introduced at geometrically expected positions. In the final cycles of full matrix least-squares refinement, positional parameters for all the atoms, and anisotropic thermal vibration parameters for the non-H-atoms were varied. The H-atoms were assigned isotropic thermal parameters equivalent to the C-atom to which they were attached and their thermal motion was not refined.

The quantities  $(1/\sigma_F^2)$  were used to weight the least-squares differences; data having  $F < 3.0 \sigma_F$  were given zero weight and not included in the refinement. The refinement converged as a residual  $(R = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c||/\Sigma |F_o|)$  of 0.08 and 0.159 for all data; the weighted residual  $(R_w = [\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/\Sigma wF_0^2]^{1/2})$  was calculated to be 0.09 and the standard deviation of an observation of unit weight  $[S^2 = \Sigma w \Delta^2/(m-n)]$  where m is the number of observations and n is the number of parameters] was found to be 2.7.

Positional parameters for both structures are given in *Table 5*. Coordinates for H-atom positions, anisotropic thermal parameters, non-H-atoms, and other crystallographic details are available from the *Buffalo* authors upon request.

Acidification of 1 with aqueous HCl-solution. The synthesis and the spectroscopic properties of the starting i-methyl-ethers 1a-d are described in [1]. Acidification of 1 was accomplished generally by dissolving the protected dichloro sterol 1 (0.06 mmol) in a (4:1)-mixture of dioxane/water (18 ml) and adding a small amount of conc. HCl-solution (ca. 5 drops). After heating the reaction mixture under reflux for 45 min, the cold solution was evaporated in RV. at reduced pressure. Dilution with water and extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> furnished a (1:3)-mixture (HPLC. analysis) of the  $3\beta$ -hydroxy compound (TLC., more polar) and the desired  $3\beta$ -chloro compound 2 (TLC., less polar) in almost quantitative overall yield. Product separation was accomplished by either column chromatography and/or by reverse-phase HPLC. and the analytical data for the trichloro steroids 2a-c are listed herewith.

 $(23R, 24S) - 3\beta$ -Chloro-23, 24-dichloromethylenecholest-5-ene (2a). M.p. 136-137° (AcOEt/EtOH);  $[a]_{289}^{12} = -21.64°, [a]_{246}^{12} = -26.18° (c = 5.5 mg/ml, CHCl_3); Rf 0.83 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). - <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. (360 MHz):$ 5.37 (m, 1H, H-C(6)); 3.77 (m, 1H, H(a)-C(3)); 1.105 and 1.060 (each d, J = 6.42 and 6.52 resp.,each 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(26) and H<sub>3</sub>C(27)); 1.035 (s, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(19)); 0.942 (d, J = 6.62, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(21)); 0.723 $(s, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(18)). -MS. (HR.)(m/z, relative intensity): 484.2452 (24, <math>M^+$ , calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>43</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub> 484.2430), 469.2132 (11, C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>40</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>, M-CH<sub>3</sub>), 448.2688 (34, C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>42</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, M-HCl), 433.2409 (10, C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>39</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, M-CH<sub>3</sub>-HCl), 346.2428 (25, C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>35</sub>Cl, M-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>8</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>!), 331.2173 (10, C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Cl), 319.2206 (38, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Cl), 317.2079 (32, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>30</sub>Cl), 303.1947 (13, C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>28</sub>Cl), 291.1772 (66, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>28</sub>Cl), 289.1709 (100, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>26</sub>Cl).

(23S, 24R)- $3\beta$ -Chloro-23, 24-dichloromethylenecholest-5-ene (2b). M.p. 134-135° (AcOEt/EtOH);  $[a]_{399}^{2} = -19.09°, [a]_{546}^{2} = -23.09° (c = 5.5 mg/ml, CHCl_3); Rf 0.83 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). - <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. (360 MHz):$ 5.37 (m, 1H, H-C(6)); 3.77 (m, 1H, H(a)-C(3)); 1.105 and 1.078 (each d, <math>J = 6.44 and 6.59 resp., each 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(26) and H<sub>3</sub>C(27)); 1.031 (s, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(19)); 0.978 (d, J = 6.56, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(21)); 0.701 (s, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(18)). -MS. (HR.)(m/z, relative intensity): 484.2403 (20,  $M^+$ , calc. for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>43</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub> 484.2430), 469.2102 (17, C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>40</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>,  $M - CH_3$ ), 448.2649 (11, C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>42</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, M - HCl), 433.2409 (6, C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>39</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $M - CH_3 - HCl$ ), 346.2426 (53, C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>35</sub>Cl,  $M - C_5H_8 - Cl_2$ !), 331.2196 (12, C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Cl), 319.2166 (44, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Cl), 317.2053 (37, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>30</sub>Cl), 303.1880 (23, C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>28</sub>Cl), 291.1801 (57, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>28</sub>Cl), 289.1738 (100, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>26</sub>Cl).

(24 R, 28 R)- $3\beta$ -Chloro-24, 28-dichloromethylene-24-ethylcholest-5-ene (2c). M.p. 146-148° (AcOEt/ EtOH);  $[a]_{89}^{29} = -35.14^\circ$ ,  $[a]_{546}^{20} = -40.54^\circ$  (c = 3.7 mg/ml, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); T<sub>R</sub> (HPLC., ODS-2) 87 min. -

able 5.	Non-H-atomic	coordinates (× an	10 <sup>4</sup> ) and isotrop d (b) (24 <b>R</b> , 28 <b>R</b> )	ic thermal parameters  -3β-chloro-24,28-dich	(× 10) for (a) (23) loromethylene-24-eth	R, 24S)-3/3-chle tylcholest-5-ene	pro-23, 24-dichle r ( <b>2c</b> )	oromethylenechol	est-5-ene (2a)
Atom	$X/A(\sigma)$	$Y/B(\sigma)$	Z/C (6)	BISO (\sigma)	Atom	$X/A(\sigma)$	Υ/Β (σ)	Z/C (σ)	BISO ( $\sigma$ )
(a)									
C(I)	5656 (6)	3783 (2)	3047 (12)	62 (2)	C(17)	4888 (5)	5594 (2)	5554 (12)	48 (2)
C(2)	5998 (6)	3373 (2)	2996 (14)	69 (2)	C(18)	3794 (5)	5121 (2)	7665 (13)	55 (2)
C(3)	7089 (6)	3321 (2)	4011 (16)	72 (3)	C(19)	4741 (5)	3784 (2)	6677 (14)	57 (2)
C(4)	7080 (6)	3464 (2)	6340 (16)	70 (3)	C(20)	3961 (5)	5888 (2)	5312 (14)	61 (2)
C(5)	6695 (5)	3864 (2)	6465 (12)	50 (2)	C(21)	3167 (6)	5771 (2)	3513 (17)	87 (3)
C(6)	7240 (5)	4117 (2)	7611 (14)	60 (2)	C(22)	4393 (5)	6281 (2)	4874 (13)	55 (2)
C(J)	6948 (5)	4516 (2)	7943 (12)	52 (2)	C(23)	3558 (5)	6592 (2)	4875 (12)	57 (2)
C(8)	5832 (4)	4617 (2)	7182 (10)	46 (2)	C(24)	3781 (6)	7002 (2)	5273 (14)	63 (2)
C(9)	5539 (4)	4391 (2)	5091 (10)	43 (2)	C(25)	4888(6)	7164 (2)	5784 (17)	70 (3)
C(10)	5652 (5)	3961 (2)	5368 (11)	47 (2)	C(26)	4795 (7)	7533 (2)	6973 (17)	81 (3)
C(II)	4453 (5)	4520 (2)	4269 (13)	55 (2)	C(27)	5477 (7)	7210 (2)	3580 (22)	100 (4)
C(12)	4373 (5)	4947 (2)	3855 (12)	54 (2)	C(28)	3196 (6)	6772 (2)	6961 (14)	67 (2)
C(13)	4641 (4)	5178 (2)	5912 (12)	45 (2)	CL(3B)	7501 (2)	2838 (1)	3849 (6)	106(1)
C(14)	5745 (4)	5041 (2)	6665 (10)	42 (2)	CL(28A)	3743 (3)	6648 (1)	9362 (4)	109 (1)
C(15)	(2) (2) (2)	5332 (2)	8312 (11)	52 (2)	CL(28B)	1798 (2)	6849 (1)	7142 (7)	123 (1)
C(16)	5627 (5)	5704 (2)	7495 (13)	56 (2)					
(q)									
C(I)	487 (1)	(1) 162	183 (1)	49 (2)	C(18)	203 (1)	932 (1)	- 185 (1)	50 (2)
C(2)	531(1)	768 (1)	317(1)	51 (2)	C(19)	356(1)	836(1)	207(1)	50 (2)
C(3)	560 (1)	948 (1)	366 (1)	52 (2)	C(20)	196(1)	1001 (1)	- 450 (1)	41 (1)
C(4)	495 (1)	1080 (1)	362 (1)	51 (2)	C(21)	221 (1)	806(1)	- 475 (1)	75 (2)
C(5)	445 (1)	1101(1)	232 (1)	41 (2)	C(22)	182 (1)	(1) 6111	- 564 (1)	43 (1)
C(6)	425(1)	1266 (1)	188 (1)	48 (2)	C(23)	115(1)	1055(1)	- 667 (1)	49 (2)
C(7)	375(1)	1303 (1)	64 (1)	46 (2)	C(24)	76 (1)	1204(1)	- 753 (1)	42 (1)
C(8)	338 (1)	1134 (1)	-2(1)	36(1)	C(25)	117 (1)	1296 (2)	- 839 (1)	74 (3)
C(9)	393 (1)	974 (1)	24 (1)	34 (1)	C(26)	189(1)	1391 (2)	- 783 (1)	98 (4)
C(10)	419(1)	926(1)	161 (1)	34 (1)	C(27)	130(1)	1151 (3)	- 926 (1)	129 (6)
C(11)	365 (1)	807 (1)	- 58 (1)	43 (1)	C(28)	22 (1)	1330(1)	- 706 (1)	51 (2)
C(12)	335 (1)	854 (1)	-193(1)	42 (1)	C(29)	- 11 (1)	1193 (1)	- 807 (1)	54 (2)
C(13)	274 (1)	1002	- 216 (1)	33 (1)	C(30)	- 58 (1)	1033 (1)	- 784 (1)	73 (3)
C(14)	310(1)	1170(1)	- 138 (1)	34 (1)	CL(3B)	617(1)	929(1)	522 (1)	73 (1)
C(15)	254 (1)	1325 (1)	- 181 (1)	51 (2)	CL(28A)	10(1)	1290 (1)	- 563 (1)	82 (1)
C(16)	225(1)	1290(1)	- 319 (1)	51 (2)	CL(28B)	15(1)	1566(1)	- 736 (1)	88 (1)
C(17)	252 (1)	1095(1)	- 343 (1)	35 (1)					

Helvetica Chimica Acta - Vol. 64, Fasc. 8 (1981) - Nr. 292

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR. (360 MHz): 5.37 (*m*, 1H, H–C(6)); 3.77 (*m*, 1H, H–C(3)); 1.153 (*s*!, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(29)); 1.131 and 1.025 (each *d*, J=6.98 and 6.93 resp., each 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(26) and H<sub>3</sub>C(27)); 1.025 (*s*, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(19)); 0.916 (*d*, J=6.36, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(21)); 0.670 (*s*, 3 H, H<sub>3</sub>C(18)). - MS. (HR.) (*m/z*, relative intensity): 512.2765 (3,  $M^+$ , calc. for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>47</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub> 512.2743), 476.2989 (4, C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>46</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. M – HCl), 402.3072 (46, C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>43</sub>Cl, M – C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>!), 387.2840 (19, C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>40</sub>Cl, 402 – CH<sub>3</sub>), 332.2284 (7, C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>33</sub>Cl), 318.2092 (47, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>31</sub>Cl), 289.1726 (100, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>26</sub>Cl).

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. W. Lang & C. Djerassi, J. Org. Chem., in press.
- [2] C. W. Shoppee & G. H. R. Summers, J. Chem. Soc. 1952, 3361.
- [3] W.L. Duax, J.F. Griffin, D.C. Rohrer & C.M. Weeks, Lipids 15, 783 (1980).
- [4] G. Germain, P. Main & M. M. Woolfson, Acta Crystall. A 27, 368 (1971).